

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

**Listing of Claims:**

1. (Currently Amended) A method for analyzing test results, comprising:  
~~comparing~~ reading test result data corresponding to at least two test failures; ~~and~~  
wherein a test failure comprises a failed attempt by a software application to conduct  
an electronic operation on a computer equipped with an operating system;  
wherein said test result data identifies an operating system associated with each test  
failure;  
determining at least one a representative test failure in said test result data, said  
representative test failure corresponding to a first failed operation;  
determining at least one related test failure corresponding to a second failed operation,  
wherein said second failed operation is a same operation as said first failed operation; and  
~~linking said at least one related test failure to said at least one representative test~~  
~~failure in a database;~~  
parsing said test result data to generate a list of operating systems corresponding to  
said representative test failure and said at least one related test failure; and  
linking said list of operating systems to said representative test failure in said  
database.
2. (Currently Amended) A method according to claim 1, wherein at least a portion of said method is accomplished by a stored procedure in a database.
3. (Currently Amended) A method according to claim 1, wherein said test result data  
identifies a computer processor associated with each test failure, and further comprising  
including computer processor identification in said list of operating systems. ~~cross-~~  
~~referencing said test result data corresponding to at least two test failures such that at least~~  
~~one property of said at least one related test failure is accessible from said at least one related~~  
~~test failure without accessing all properties of said at least one related test failure.~~
4. (Original) A method according to claim 1, further comprising exposing said at least one representative test failure through a Graphic User Interface (“GUI”).

5. (Currently Amended) A method according to ~~claim 1~~ claim 4, further comprising marking said at least one representative test failure in said GUI as an expected failure.

6. (Currently Amended) A method according to claim 5, further comprising deemphasizing said at least one representative test failure in a said GUI with respect to any unexpected failures.

7. (Currently Amended) A computer readable medium bearing instructions automated test result analysis, comprising:

instructions for ~~comparing~~ reading test result data corresponding to at least two test failures; ~~and~~

wherein a test failure comprises a failed attempt by a software application to conduct an electronic operation on a computer equipped with an operating system;

wherein said test result data identifies an operating system associated with each test failure;

instructions for determining ~~at least one~~ a representative test failure in said test result data, said representative test failure corresponding to a first failed operation;

instructions for determining at least one related test failure corresponding to a second failed operation, wherein said second failed operation is a same operation as said first failed operation; ~~and~~

~~instructions for linking said at least one related test failure to said at least one representative test failure in a database;~~

instructions for parsing said test result data to generate a list of operating systems corresponding to said representative test failure and said at least one related test failure; and

instructions for linking said list of operating systems to said representative test failure in said database.

8. (Currently Amended) A method for classifying test results, comprising:

extracting data from a test result file, wherein said test result file identifies a failed attempt by a software application to conduct an electronic operation on a computer equipped with an operating system; and

comparing said data to failure characteristics stored in a database; and  
linking ~~said data from a~~ an operating system identification from said test result file to said failure characteristics if said data from a test result file matches said failure characteristics.

9. (Original) A method according to claim 8, further comprising marking properties of test result files to be ignored during said comparing.

10. (Original) A method according to claim 8, wherein at least one of said failure characteristics is an abstract characteristic that can be matched by a variety to data from a test result file.

11. (Original) A method according to claim 8, further comprising adding new failure characteristics to said database if said data from a test result file does not match said failure characteristics, wherein said new failure characteristics correspond to said data from a test result file.

12. (Original) A method according to claim 11, further comprising emphasizing said new failure characteristics in a GUI with respect to failure characteristics that are not new.

13. (Canceled)

14. (Currently Amended) A method according to claim 8, further comprising marking failure characteristics to indicate that ~~the~~ a failure they represent is expected.

15.-21. (Canceled)

22. (Currently Amended) A method for classifying test results, comprising:

extracting data from a test result file, wherein said test result file identifies a failed attempt by a software application to conduct an electronic operation on a computer equipped with an operating system; and

comparing said data from a test result file to failure characteristics stored in a database, wherein first data that identifies a test operation is used in said comparison and second data that identifies a test scenario comprising at least an operating system identifier is

not used in said comparison; and

if a match is discovered from said comparing, identifying said data from a test result file and said failure characteristics as a single failure in a Graphical User Interface (GUI), and adding said operating system identifier to a list of operating system identifiers associated with said single failure.

23. (Original) A method according to claim 22, wherein said comparing is accomplished by a stored procedure in a database.

24. (Currently Amended) A method according to claim 22, further comprising cross-referencing said ~~data from a test result file~~ list of operating system identifiers such that ~~at least one property of said data from a test result file~~ it is accessible through said GUI from said single failure.

25.– 26. (Canceled)

27. (Currently Amended) A method according to ~~claim 26~~ claim 22, wherein said data from a test result file is in Extensible Markup Language (“XML”) format.